

PROFESSORILIITTO PROFESSORSFÖRBUNDET FINNISH UNION OF UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS

Nordic meeting, Bergen, 10-12 June 2024 Social Dialogue at Finnish Universities Petri Mäntysaari

Background

The Universities Act of 2009 https://www.finlex.fi/sv/laki/ajantasa/2009/20090558

- Universities became entities in the private sector (not the state).
- The Companies Act was used as a model for internal organisation.
- The board has large powers.
- The rector is appointed by the board (not elected by the university community).

The Cooperation Act of 2021 (samarbets lagen) https://finlex.fi/sv/laki/ajantasa/2021/20211333

- Less prescriptive.
- More room for local agreements on the scope of social dialogue.
 https://www.eduskunta.fi/SV/vaski/HallituksenEsitys/Sidor/RP 159+2021.aspx

Negative trend

- Concentration of managerial power (the rector as "CEO").
- Broad social dialogue to the some extent replaced by internal board activity.
- The Cooperation Act (samarbetslagen) may have reduced the volume of social dialogue in the ordinary course of business, greater focus on financial crisis situations.

What can be done?

Greater focus on the allocation of power between the university collegium (universitetskollegiet) and the board.

- The university collegium as a "supervisory board"?
- How is the rector appointed?
- How is the rector removed?
- What body will decide on the adoption of internal guidelines (riktlinjer) and the internal organisation of the university?

In crisis situations, greater focus on the generation of income.

- The employer focuses on costs: less employees and less square meters = instant savings.
- We focus on income: less employees and less square meters = less income, no net savings.
- The outcome: a broader long-term perspective.